

RESEARCH

Open Access

Bike helmets prevent pediatric head injury in serious bicycle crashes with motor vehicles



Stephen J. Strotmeyer^{1*}, Christopher Behr¹, Anthony Fabio² and Barbara A. Gaines¹

From 24th Annual Injury Free Coalition for Kids Conference: Forging New Frontiers: Motor Vehicle Safety for All Ages Fort Lauderdale, FL, USA. 06-08 December 2019

Abstract

Background: Approximately 75% of all bicycle-related mortality is secondary to head injuries, 85% of which could have been prevented by wearing a bicycle helmet. Younger children appear to be at greater risk than adults, yet helmet use is low despite this risk and legislation and ordinances requiring helmet use among younger riders. We sought to determine whether bicycle helmets are associated with the incidence and severity of head injury among pediatric bicyclists involved in a bicycle crash involving a motor vehicle.

Methods: We performed a retrospective review of patients age ≤ 18 years hospitalized at a level I pediatric trauma center between January 1, 2008, and December 31, 2018. Data were abstracted from the institutional trauma registry and electronic medical record. International Classification of Diseases 9th and 10th editions and external causes of injury codes were used to identify MV related bicycle crashes and determine the abbreviated injury severity (AIS) for head injury severity. Injury narratives were reviewed to determine helmet use. We calculated the incidence of head injury from bicycle vs. MV crashes utilizing descriptive statistics. We analyzed the risk and severity of injury utilizing univariate and multivariate logistic regression.

Results: Overall, 226 bicyclists were treated for injuries from being struck by a MV. The median age was 11 (interquartile range (IQR): 8 to 13) years. Helmeted bicyclists ($n = 26$, 27%) were younger (9.4 years versus 10.8 years, $p = 0.04$), and were less likely (OR 0.21, 95% CI 0.09 to 0.49) to be diagnosed with a head injury compared to unhelmeted bicyclists ($n = 199$). Of those with a head injury, helmeted bicyclists were less likely (OR 0.57, 95% CI 0.11–2.82) to sustain severe or higher injury using AIS. When adjusting for demographics (age, sex, race) and injury severity, helmet use predicted a reduction in head injury (OR 6.02, 95% CI 2.4–15.2).

Conclusions: Bicycle helmet use was associated with reduced odds of head injury and severity of injury. These results support the use of strategies to increase the uptake of bicycle helmets wearing as part of a comprehensive youth bicycling injury prevention program.

Keywords: Bicycle injuries, Bicycle helmets, Head injury, Injury severity, Injury prevention

* Correspondence: stephen.strotmeyer@pitt.edu

¹Department of Pediatric General and Thoracic Surgery, UPMC Children's Hospital of Pittsburgh, 4401 Penn Avenue, Pittsburgh, PA 15224, USA
Full list of author information is available at the end of the article



© The Author(s). 2020 **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (<http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/>) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated in a credit line to the data.

Background

Childhood injuries continue to be a major United States (U.S.) public health burden, both economically and as a source of morbidity and mortality (Butchart et al. 2008). Of all childhood injuries, unintentional injuries have been reported as the leading cause of premature mortality (Rezendes 2006). When looking at injuries associated with consumer products, bicycle-related injuries rank second as a cause of injury, behind only motor vehicles (MV) (United States Consumer Product Safety Commission n.d.). Bicycling continues to be a popular recreational activity and a mode of transportation for many children, which can carry considerable risk for injury based on those exposures. Riding in environments deemed unsafe has previously been shown to increase the risk of serious injury, particularly in motor vehicle collisions (MVC) (Rivara et al. 1997). Further research claimed roughly 75% of all bicycle-related mortality is secondary to head injuries, and that 85% of those injuries could have been prevented by simply by wearing a bicycle helmet (Brainline: All about brain injury and PTSD n.d.). Younger children appear to be at greater risk of head injuries than adults, yet despite legislation and ordinances requiring helmet use among younger riders, helmet use has reportedly remained low (Schroeder and Wilbur 2013). In theory, helmets would be inherently protective against head injury, and establishing the real-world effectiveness of helmets involved in MVC resulting in pediatric traumatic injuries is important. Previous reports found bicycle helmets more effective in single bicycle crashes than in collisions with motor vehicles (Høye 2018). A Cochrane review of controlled studies evaluating the effect of bicycle helmets on injuries found helmets provide up to a 63–88% reduction in the risk of head, brain, and severe brain injury for cyclists of all ages (Thompson et al. 1999).

As those studies looked at all bicycle-related crashes, often involving a single bicyclist or a fall from a bicycle, as well as adult riders, it is difficult to determine how effective bicycle helmets are at reducing head injuries among children when involved in an MVC. The objective of this study was to determine whether bicycle helmets are associated with decreased incidence and severity of head injury among pediatric bicyclists involved in a bicycle crash with an MV.

Methods

We performed a retrospective medical record review of patients aged ≤ 18 years old hospitalized for bicycle vs. MV collision injuries at a level I pediatric trauma center between January 1, 2008, and December 31, 2018. Patients from the trauma registry were identified using International Classification of Diseases, Ninth & Tenth Revisions, Clinical Modification (ICD-9-CM & ICD-10-CM) external-cause-of-injury codes (E-codes), depending on data year, since ICD-10-CM was the replacement

for ICD-9-CM, effective October 1, 2015 (International Classification of Diseases, Tenth Revision, Clinical Modification (ICD-10-CM) n.d.). The ICD-9-CM E-code E813.6 (MVA Traffic, Collision w/ Other Vehicle - Pedal Cyclist), the ICD-10-CM codes V13.4-.9XXA (Pedal cycle driver injured in collision with a car, pick-up truck or van in a traffic accident, initial encounter) and V14.4-.9XXA (Pedal cycle driver injured in collision with heavy transport vehicle or bus in a traffic accident, initial encounter), and medical record injury narratives were used to identify bicycle crashes in traffic with an MV, as well as documented helmet use. Further confirmation to identify bicycle crashes in traffic with a motor vehicle was performed by scanning the mechanism of injury narratives. Helmet use was documented by trauma registrars who compiled data from multiple sources of the medical record, including Emergency Medical Service (EMS) trip sheets, Emergency Department (ED) Notes, trauma notes, and case management notes.

The institutional trauma registrars were trained to perform a daily census of all trauma credentialed floors and actively search for patients with ICD-9/10-CM traumatic injury codes to capture all admitted trauma patients. Medical record data were abstracted and uniformly entered electronically in Digital Innovations Collector/CV-4, a software template that includes an ICD-9/10 Tricoder. Inter-rater reliability checks were run monthly for many of the elements collected within the database.

Data abstracted from the institutional trauma registry and electronic medical record included demographics, clinical information, anatomic diagnoses, outcomes, and injury severity. Head injury severity was determined using the Abbreviated Injury Scale (AIS[®]), an anatomically based, consensus derived, global severity scoring system that classifies an individual injury by body region according to its relative severity on a 6-point scale (1 = minor and 6 = maximal). AIS is the basis for the Injury Severity Score (ISS) calculation of the multiply injured patient (Abbreviated Injury Scale (AIS) - Overview 2019). These scores provided standardized injury descriptor capabilities and injury severity assessment, important in clinical trauma management and epidemiological study.

These data were analyzed utilizing descriptive statistics and univariate/multivariate logistic regression. We examined demographics using mean, median, and standard deviation and used binary logistic regression to determine if outcome was impacted by demographics. The data were analyzed using IBM SPSS Statistics v25 (Armonk, NY) (IBM Corp n.d.). Our study was approved by the University of Pittsburgh Medical Center Institutional Review Board (PRO18100096).

Multivariate analysis was done using multiple logistic regression to assess the relationship between helmet status and head injury and the level of head injury severity, as measured by the AIS. The AIS outcomes was dichotomized

as severe (4), critical (5) or maximal (6) vs AIS < 4 (Abbreviated Injury Scale (AIS) - Overview 2019). Backward stepwise multivariable logistic regression with a P -value < 0.05 was used to identify clinical factors independently associated with the risk of head injury status or head injury severity following an MVC. Variables entered in the first step of this analysis were all those that showed a univariable association with the risk of head injury status or head injury severity, with a P -value < 0.10, as well as the age (continuous variable), sex, and race of the patient. Helmet status (p < 0.01) retained a statistically significant, independent association with the risk of head injury status or head injury severity at the last step of the backward stepwise process. These variables formed the final multivariable model.

Results

Looking at the 11 years of trauma registry data, we identified 226 children who were treated for injuries sustained from being struck by a motor vehicle in traffic while riding a bike. The median age of the children treated was 11 years (interquartile range (IQR): 8 to 13). Males made up 83% (187/226) of the patients seen. The patient's race was recorded as 71% white, 25% black and 4% other or unknown, which was reflective of the catchment area. The median overall injury severity score (ISS) was moderate at 9 (IQR 5–12). Hospital length of stay was a median of 2 days (IQR 1–3), and no appreciable time was spent in the Intensive Care Unit (ICU) or on a ventilator.

Helmets were reportedly worn by 27 (12%) of the patients. The only significant difference observed in demographics when comparing helmeted bicyclists ($n = 27$) to unhelmeted bicyclists ($n = 199$) was age. Helmeted bicyclists were slightly younger (9.4 years versus 10.8 years, $p = .036$) (Table 1).

Unadjusted logistic regression analysis showed helmeted bicyclists were 78.6% less likely (OR 0.21, 95% confidence interval (CI) 0.09 to 0.49) to be diagnosed with a head injury compared to unhelmeted bicyclists (Table 2). Further, of those patients sustaining a head

Table 1 Patient demographics by helmet wearing status among 226 bicyclists treated for traumatic injury, 2008–2018

Variable	Helmeted ($n = 27$)	Not helmeted ($n = 199$)
Age*	9 years (Median; IQR 7–13)	11 years (Median; IQR 9–13)
Sex		
Male	23 (12.3%)	164 (87.7%)
Female	4 (10.2%)	35 (89.8%)
Race		
White	23 (14.4%)	137 (85.6%)
Black	4 (7.1%)	52 (92.9%)
Other/ Unknown	1 (11.1%)	8 (88.9%)

*Significant at $p < 0.05$

Table 2 Head injury status by helmet wearing among 226 bicyclists treated for traumatic injury, 2008–2018

	No head Injury 57 (25.2%)	Head injury 169 (74.8%)	Total 226 (100%)
No Helmet	42 (21.1%)	157 (78.9%)	199 (88.1%)
Helmet	15 (55.5%)	12 (44.5%)	27 (11.9%)

(OR 0.21, 95% CI 0.09 to 0.49)

injury ($n = 169$, 74.8%), helmeted bicyclists were 43% less likely (OR 0.57, 95% CI 0.11–2.82) to sustain an injury classified as severe (4), critical (5) or maximal (6) under the Abbreviated Injury Scale (AIS) classification (IBM Corp n.d.).

Multivariate logistic regression examined the relationship between helmet status and head injury and the level of overall injury severity. When adjusting for age (OR 0.99, 95% CI 0.90–1.08) and injury severity (OR 0.91, 95% CI 0.86–0.96), helmet use predicted an 83.4% reduction (OR 6.02, 95% CI 2.4–15.2) in head injury (Table 3).

Discussion

In support of existing literature, we found helmeted bicyclists involved in MVCs were significantly less likely (78.6%) to be diagnosed with a head injury compared to unhelmeted bicyclists. When a head injury was diagnosed, helmeted bicyclists were significantly less likely (44%) to sustain a severe head injury. Since age was lower among the helmeted compared to unhelmeted bicyclists (9.4 years vs. 10.8 years; $p < .05$) we adjusted for that demographic covariate, helmet status, and injury severity. Our multivariate regression model found helmet use predicted a statistically significant reduction (83.4%) in head injury.

Reducing bicycle-related head injuries and fatalities remains an area for continued prevention efforts to translate research that demonstrated protective effects of bicycle helmets into increased usage. Bicycle helmet safety legislation is associated with decreased fatalities among children riding a bike (Meehan III et al. 2013). Wearing a helmet when riding a bike has been reported as the single most important safety device for decreasing a lower likelihood of head injury (Mehan et al. 2009). Despite helmet

Table 3 Logistic regression analysis of factors used to differentiate between 226 helmeted and unhelmeted bicyclists treated for traumatic injury, 2008–2018

Predictor	Odds ratio	95% Confidence interval	p -value
Age in years	0.99	0.90–1.08	0.77
Helmet Status (Yes vs. No)	6.02	2.41–15.15	< 0.000
Severity (Severe vs. Not Severe)	0.91	0.86–0.96	0.002

laws in 22 states and over 200 additional local laws, many of these injuries still occur while riding a bicycle but have the potential to be preventable through appropriate helmet use (Bicycle Helmet Safety Institute [n.d.](#)).

Earlier evaluations summarized the protective effects of bicycle helmets on preventing injuries (providing up to a 63 to 88% reduction in the risk of head, brain, and severe brain injury), for bicyclists of all ages and all types of crashes (Thompson et al. [1999](#)). Our study sought to specifically select pediatric bicycle-related crashes with motor vehicles, theoretically representing the most energy transfer, or high impact collisions resulting in traumatic injury. We found similar benefits of helmet use when looking specifically at children involved in collision with motor vehicles. The results of our study support the use of strategies to increase the uptake of bicycle helmets as part of a comprehensive youth bicycling injury prevention program. As part of those programs, stressing the statistically significant protective effects of wearing a helmet when involved in MVC (arguably the worst situational exposure), in addition to the single-bike crashes or falls, may be a way to communicate real-world effectiveness.

In another population-based study of pediatric bicycle-related head injuries, a small subgroup analysis noted those with severe outcomes, such as intensive care unit admission or death, were patients whose primary injury mechanism was being struck by a motor vehicle. Further, of the youth bicyclists presenting with a head injury, that group was less likely to have worn a helmet, and more likely to undergo CT scans and X-rays when compared to helmeted riders (Kaushik et al. [2015](#)). Motor vehicle involvement increased the risk of serious injury requiring hospitalizations among children treated in emergency departments (McAdams et al. [2018](#)).

There were some limitations in our study. Obvious imperfections of chart review as a data collection method include completeness and accuracy. Despite best efforts by the trauma registrars, the potential for incomplete documentation and the inability to elicit specific information, notably the identification of helmet use, exist. While exhaustively reviewing the medical records (including notes fields from multiple sources), it is possible the team was unable to identify all instances of helmet wearing, particularly if providers failed to report that status in the medical record. While we intentionally sought to identify patients in the trauma registry, which represents the most severe cases of bicycle-related injury resulting from MVC, we would miss those treated and discharged from the Emergency Department (ED). Additionally, we would not capture fatalities of bicyclists who were not transported to our hospital. Our data did not include information on the crash specifics, including speed or vehicle type involved with the collision with the

patients. Since we relied on retrospective data with ICD-9/10-CM coding, patient misclassification may have occurred, leading to either over- or under-reporting.

Conclusion

Children who wore a bicycle helmet use had reduced odds of head injury in our population of children hospitalized after a bicycle vs MV collision. Head injuries were reported in 75% of the patients, yet the severity of the head injury was reduced among the helmeted children when compared to the unhelmeted group. The reduced risk of serious head injury should help drive educational and psychosocial-based interventions to promote increased rates of helmet wearing among youth bicyclists. There is good evidence that helmet legislation is effective at the population level, by increasing use and decreasing head injury once implemented (Huybers et al. [2017](#); Macpherson and Spinks [2007](#)). A comprehensive approach combining education, awareness and enforcement of helmet use should not only increase helmet use but should additionally address the behaviors of both riders and drivers especially within traffic.

Abbreviations

AIS: Abbreviated Injury Scale; CDC: The Centers for Disease Control and Prevention; CI: Confidence interval; ED: Emergency Department; EMS: Emergency Medical Services; ICD: International Classification of Diseases; ICU: Intensive Care Unit; IQR: Interquartile range; ISS: Injury Severity Score; MV: Motor vehicle; MVC: Motor vehicle collision; OR: Odd ratio

Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank the members of the Benedum Trauma Program, whose diligence in trauma data collection was considerable and appreciated: Christine Perlick, Shannon Shoemaker, Kathleen Oxenreiter, Marianne Miller, Majorie Vinski and Melinda Gallagher. We would also like to thank our clinical research coordinator, Min Shi.

About this supplement

This article has been published as part of *Injury Epidemiology* Volume 7 Supplement 1, 2020: Proceedings from the 24th Annual Injury Free Coalition for Kids® Conference: Forging New Frontiers: Motor Vehicle Safety for All Ages. The full contents of the supplement are available online at <https://injepjournal.biomedcentral.com/articles/supplements/volume-7-supplement-1>.

Authors' contributions

SS, AF and CB analyzed and interpreted participant data, and contributed to manuscript writing. BAG served as an advisor for analysis and interpretation and contributed to manuscript writing. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Funding

The authors received no financial support for the research, authorship, or publication of this article. Publication charges were funded by the Injury Free Coalition for Kids®.

Availability of data and materials

Study data for the research resides at the Benedum Pediatric Trauma Program in the Division of Pediatric General and Thoracic Surgery at UPMC Children's Hospital of Pittsburgh, University of Pittsburgh.

Ethics approval and consent to participate

This study was granted approval by the University of Pittsburgh Institutional Review Board under PRO18100096.

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing financial or non-financial interests.

Author details

¹Department of Pediatric General and Thoracic Surgery, UPMC Children's Hospital of Pittsburgh, 4401 Penn Avenue, Pittsburgh, PA 15224, USA.

²University of Pittsburgh School of Public Health, 4420 Bayard Street, Suite 600, Pittsburgh, PA 15213, USA.

Published: 12 June 2020

References

- Abbreviated Injury Scale (AIS) - Overview. Association for the advancement of automotive medicine. 2019. <https://www.aaam.org/abbreviated-injury-scale-ais/>. (Association for the Advancement of Automotive Medicine 2019). Accessed 16 Dec 2019.
- Bicycle Helmet Safety Institute. n.d.. <https://www.helmets.org/mandator.htm>. Accessed 16 Dec 20109.
- Brainline: All about brain injury and PTSD. n.d.. Web site. <https://www.brainline.org/article/bicycle-safety>. Accessed 16 Dec 2019.
- Butchart A, Corso P, Florquin N, Muggah R. Manual for estimating the economic costs of injuries due to interpersonal and self-directed violence. New manual for estimating the economic costs of injuries due to interpersonal and self-directed violence. Geneva: WHO Department of Violence and Injury Prevention and Disability; 2008.
- Høye A. Bicycle helmets—to wear or not to wear? A meta-analysis of the effects of bicycle helmets on injuries. *Accid Anal Prev*. 2018;117:85–97.
- Huybers S, Fenerty L, Kureshi N, et al. Long-term effects of education and legislation enforcement on all-age bicycle helmet use: a longitudinal study. *J Community Health*. 2017;42(1):83–9. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10900-016-0233-3>.
- IBM Corp. Released 2017. IBM SPSS statistics for windows, version 25.0. Armonk: IBM Corp; n.d.
- International Classification of Diseases, Tenth Revision, Clinical Modification (ICD-10-CM). n.d.. CDC <https://www.cdc.gov/nchs/icd/icd10cm.htm>. Accessed Dec 2019.
- Kaushik R, Krusch IM, Schroeder DR, Flick R, Nemerugut ME. Pediatric bicycle-related head injuries: a population-based study in a county without a helmet law. *Inj Epidemiol*. 2015;2(1):16.
- Macpherson A, Spinks A. Bicycle helmet legislation for the uptake of helmet use and prevention of head injuries. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*. 2007;(2):CD005401. <https://doi.org/10.1002/14651858.CD005401.pub3>. Citeer.
- McAdams RJ, Swidarski K, Clark RM, Roberts KJ, Yang J, McKenzie LB. Bicycle-related injuries among children treated in US emergency departments, 2006–2015. *Accid Anal Prev*. 2018;118:11–7.
- Meehan WP III, Lee LK, Fischer CM, Mannix RC. Bicycle helmet laws are associated with a lower fatality rate from bicycle–motor vehicle collisions. *J Pediatr*. 2013;163(3):726–9.
- Mehan TJ, Gardner R, Smith GA, McKenzie LB. Bicycle-related injuries among children and adolescents in the United States. *Clin Pediatr*. 2009;48(2):166–73.
- Rezendes JL. Bicycle helmets: overcoming barriers to use and increasing effectiveness. *J Pediatr Nurs*. 2006;21(1):35–44.
- Rivara FP, Thompson DC, Thompson RS. Epidemiology of bicycle injuries and risk factors for serious injury. *Inj Prev*. 1997;3(2):110–4.
- Schroeder P, Wilbur M. 2012 national survey of bicyclist and pedestrian attitudes and behavior, volume 1: summary report. (report no. DOT HS 811 841 A). Washington, DC: National Highway Traffic Safety Administration; 2013. <https://www.nhtsa.gov/sites/nhtsa.dot.gov/files/811841a.pdf>.
- Thompson DC, Rivara F, Thompson R. Helmets for preventing head and facial injuries in bicyclists. *Cochrane database of systematic reviews*. 1999;4.
- United States Consumer Product Safety Commission. n.d.. <https://www.cpsc.gov/Research%2D%2DStatistics/NEISS-Injury-Data>. Accessed 16 Dec 2019.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Ready to submit your research? Choose BMC and benefit from:

- fast, convenient online submission
- thorough peer review by experienced researchers in your field
- rapid publication on acceptance
- support for research data, including large and complex data types
- gold Open Access which fosters wider collaboration and increased citations
- maximum visibility for your research: over 100M website views per year

At BMC, research is always in progress.

Learn more biomedcentral.com/submissions

